# STEP準1級 解答解説資料 (2008年度第3回出題)

# Space Junkyard

①According to NASA, there are hundreds of thousands of man-made objects circling our planet, most of which have already served their purpose. Though much of this debris burns up when it reenters the atmosphere, a monitoring system has recorded a net increase of items in orbit. Many objects, at least those above 700 kilometers, will remain there for centuries.

<sup>(2)</sup>The problem is likely to get even worse. Increased levels of carbon dioxide (CO2) pollution, which is blamed for global warming, actually have the reverse effect hundreds of kilometers above the earth. According to researchers from Britain's University of Southampton, <u>higher CO2 levels have a cooling effect at orbits</u> between 700 and 2,000 kilometers, which extends the life span of space garbage. This leads to a greater danger of collisions with satellites or spacecraft in orbit, where even an object smaller than a tennis ball can have devastating consequences. It could be like "exploding several sticks of dynamite in your spacecraft," says Southampton's Dr. Hugh Lewis.

③<u>NASA expects an increasing number of collisions will result in a need for shield</u> all satellites in the future—just like the armor on the International Space Station (ISS) – which will significantly <u>raise costs</u> for <u>aerospace manufacturers</u>. On the other band, Davi Wade, a space insurance expert at Lloyd's Insurance, notes that many comin the tions satellites orbit at about 36,000 kilometers above the earth, far above the area when most debris is found. "This problem is not really going to affect the satell to bat beam TV pictures around the world; they are fine," Wade said.

(4) For operators of the ISS and other spacecraft, however, once was us already a threat. In May 2003, the U.S. military alerted NASA to the dager of large plotes of debris passing within less than 1.6 kilometers of the ISS. Also, a errise one flight, the space shuttle Discovery showed signs of 64 impacts, 10 of which were caused by man-made objects. Such small collisions require NASA to replace the panes two windows after every shuttle mission, and orbiting satellites must be repositioned regularly to avoid debris. What is most worrying, though, is NASA's estimate of the probability of the ISS or a shuttle experiencing a fatal debris collision: a surprisingly high 1 in 200 chance.

**S**<u>What should be done about the junk in the heavens</u>? Half a century since the first man-made satellite—the Soviet Union's Sputnik I—was sent into space, there is still no international treaty on orbital debris. However, an international committee whose aim is to coordinate efforts to deal with this issue has been established. As Nicholas Johnson, manager of NASA's orbital debris program, warns, "If you wait until you start seeing negative consequences, then the environment is pretty far gone already, and <u>cleaning it up can be very, very difficult</u>." Governments, aerospace firms, and satellite operators would do well to prevent the unnecessary creation of new orbital debris.

(38)	What is <u>one reason</u> that <u>the problem of s</u>
	serious'?
② 段 落	<ol> <li>The number of satellites and spac growing rapidly.</li> <li>The space-debris monitoring system faster, so it will cause more damage.</li> <li>The debris within 700 kilometers of centuries before breaking up.</li> <li>The low atmospheric temperature</li> </ol>
	creasing the chance of it hitting othe
(39)	A v cm facing aerospace manufacture
	1 the increase in debris threatens the
<ol> <li>③段落</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>more collisions with debris will make necessary.</li> <li>the government will force them to currently under development.</li> <li>increasing insurance costs will mean protective measures.</li> </ol>
(40)	<u>According to NASA</u> , what is the <b>biggest</b> debris?
	1) The possibility of a deadly accident
④ 段 落	<ul> <li>actually quite high.</li> <li>2 The frequency of space-shuttle enc NASA to go over budget because of re</li> <li>3 The increased number of military spa positional adjustments of satellites to a</li> <li>4 The danger to the ISS is growing as by large impacts with debris.</li> </ul>
(41)	What does the author recommend be opposite the problem?
<b>⑤</b> 段落	<ol> <li>The actual environmental effects of th appropriate action can be taken.</li> <li>The current treaty on acceptable leve cleanup efforts are to succeed on an in</li> <li><u>Nations and companies</u> must <u>act qu</u> <u>debris</u> <u>before the problem becomes un</u></li> <li>Aerospace companies would be better</li> </ol>
	debris rather than worrying about futu

### pace debris will probably <u>become more</u>

cecraft releasing debris into space is m shows that debris is now traveling of the earth will remain in orbit for lengthens the life of debris, thereby er objects.

#### ers is that

entire global communications satellite

make major adjustments to projects there is little money left to develop

## concern regarding the threat of space

<mark>t caused by a collision with debris is</mark>

counters with such debris has caused epairs.

ace stations will lead to more frequent avoid accidents.

its protective shield has been weakened

#### done to deal with the orbital debris

he debris need to be investigated so that

els of debris needs to be reevaluated if nternational scale.

<u>ickly to avoid</u> an <u>additional buildup of</u> <u>manageable</u>.

er off focusing on getting rid of current ture debris.